Effect of Adding Medical Cannabis Treatment (MCT) to Analgesic Treatment in Patients with Low Back Pain related to Fibromyalgia: An Observational Cross-over Single Center Study

Abstract

Introduction: Low Back Pain (LBP) occurs in many patients with fibromyalgia (FM). The current study aimed to assess the possible pain and function amelioration associated with Medical Cannabis Therapy (MCT) in this setting.

Methods: 31 patients were involved in an observational cross-over study. The patients were screened, treated with 3 months of Standardized Analgesic Therapy (SAT): 5 mg of oxycodone hydrochloride equivalent to 4.5 mg oxycodone and 2.5 mg naloxone hydrochloride twice a day and duloxetine 30 mg once a day. Following 3 months of these therapies, the patients could opt for MCT and were treated for a minimum of 6 months. Patient Reported Outcomes (PRO's) included: FIQR, VAS, ODI and SF-12 and lumbar Range of Motion (ROM) was recorded using the modified Schober test.

Results: While SAT led to minor improvement as compared with baseline status, the addition of MCT allowed a significantly higher improvement in all PRO's at 3 months after initiation of MCT and the improvement was maintained at 6 months. ROM improved after 3 months of MCT and continued to improve at 6 months.

Discussion: This observational cross-over study demonstrates an advantage of MCT in FM patients with LBP as compared with SAT. Further studies randomized clinical trials should assess whether these results can be generalized to the FM population at large.
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Introduction

Fibromyalgia (FM) is a rather common musculoskeletal affliction. A high proportion of patients are affected by Low Back Pain (LBP). This is not surprising as LBP affects 60%-80% of individuals at some point in their lives, and about 10% of cases are related to FM [1]. Treatment of chronic LBP is often multi-modality and not very successful. The results of treatment in patients with LBP in the setting of FM are better in primary FM than in secondary FM [1]. Recently duloxetine has been demonstrated to be effective in chronic musculoskeletal pain conditions [2]. The drug has previously been demonstrated to be effective for pain management in FM and LBP [3].

FM is quite resistant to pharmacologic treatment, and due to its effects on function and patient well-being additional therapies are needed. Medical Cannabis Therapy (MCT) has recently been used in chronic LBP patients with quite remarkable functional improvement [4]. A recent meta-analysis has concluded that most randomized clinical trials of cannabinoids demonstrate that in fifteen of the eighteen trials that met the inclusion criteria demonstrated a significant analgesic effect of cannabinoid as compared with placebo without serious adverse effects [5]. Adverse effects most commonly reported were generally well tolerated, mild to moderate in severity and led to withdrawal from the studies in only a few cases. Previous survey of 1300 patients indicates that MCT is more effective than duloxetine in
treating fibromyalgia, with 60 percent of respondents describing duloxetine as ineffective [6]. Endocannabinoid deficiency has been suggested to lead to the ameliorative effect of MCT in fibromyalgia, a disease in which endocannabinoid tone appears dysregulated. All humans possess an underlying endocannabinoid tone that reflects of levels of anandamide. If endocannabinoid function were decreased, it follows that a lowered pain threshold would be operative, along with derangements of digestion, mood, and sleep among the almost universal physiological systems sub served by the endocannabinoid system [7,8].

In order to evaluate the possible role of Medical Cannabis Therapy (MCT) in the management of LBP in FM, a cross-over add-on design of a trial was performed. This design was chosen to increase patients’ safety. Thus, no pharmaceutical treatment was stopped but rather the MCT was added on top of current pharmacotherapy.

**Methods**

**Design**

Due to national regulations, a treatment trial of 12 months using analgesics is required prior to initiation of MCT for a pain-related indication. To ensure adequacy of analgesic treatment prior to MCT initiation, our clinic mandates a 3 months trial with analgesics prior to consideration of MCT. This policy allows a comparison of the symptom response of the patient cohort treated at our clinic comparing standardized analgesic therapy to MCT. The standardized treatment protocol in our clinic involves duloxetine 30 mg once daily and an opiate delivered twice to thrice daily (most commonly Targin (oxycodone hydrochloride 5 mg and naloxone hydrochloride anhydrous 2.5 mg) as it has less gastrointestinal effects than other opiates.

This study was an observational cross-over trial with participants treated for 3 months by duloxetine and opiates as needed to control their pain. Following a minimum of 3 months therapy, license to treat with MCT was granted by the Israeli ministry of health. The patients could continue the pharmacotherapy used so far and 20 grams of MCT were administered by inhalation of smoke, for 6 months. The study was approved by the hospital ethical committee and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03138460). All patients signed a consent form for the cannabis therapy as mandated by national law.

**Participants**

Participants who met the inclusion criteria were recruited from an orthopedic pain clinic. One of the authors (DR) conducted a thorough physical examination that included neurological testing, postural assessment, joint mobility, strength, etc.

The inclusion criteria were:

1. Symptomatic LBP of more than 12 months duration between T12 and the gluteal fold.
2. History of symptomatic FM for at least 12 months.
3. Failure of opiate therapy of at least 12 months.

Exclusion criteria included:

1. A self-reported history of malignancy
2. Improvement in pain to less than 4 VAS after opiate therapy
3. Refusal to undergo medication by duloxetine and opiates
4. Inability to sign an informed consent form allowing the data to be used for research purposes.
5. Severe cardiovascular disease preventing MCT administration according to a cardiologist
6. Severe psychiatric disease preventing MCT administration according to a psychiatrist

All subjects signed a consent form permitting the use of their data for research purposes, and confidentiality was assured using an anonymous coding system.

Participants were not asked to refrain from receiving other forms of therapy and analgesics during the study.

**MCT**

MCT therapy is legally obtained from one of several producers following approval by the ministry of health. The approval process requires a minimum period of 12 months of opiate analgesic therapy. All patients considered for treatment of LBP in FM by MCT received a minimum of 12 months’ pharmacotherapy from a rheumatologist. As the pharmacotherapy details varied, a period of Standardized Analgesic Therapy (SAT) was administered. Specifically, all patients in the trial received at least 3 months of duloxetine 30 mg once daily and Targin 5/2.5 mg twice a day (each tablet contains 5 mg of oxycodone hydrochloride equivalent to 4.5 mg oxycodone and 2.5 mg naloxone hydrochloride as 2.73 mg of naloxone hydrochloride dehydrate equivalent to 2.25 mg naloxone). This medication is less likely than other opiates to cause constipation and gastrointestinal side effects [9,10]. During this 3 months’ period, all other opiates and atypical analgesics were stopped. Following 3 months’ treatment patients applied to receive permit for MCT (response time was up to 45 days) and were crossed-over to the MCT arm (however concomitant SAT therapy was allowed). Patients were evaluated prior to standardized analgesic therapy, after 3 months of SAT and after 3 months and 6 months of MCT.

The MCT recommended was 1:4 THC to CBD. The THC levels were less than 5%. The dose of MCT was 20 grams per month for 3 months, administered via smoking or vaporization. After 3 months, according to patient’s request and detailed usage table documenting daily the time and amount of drug use, an option to increase the dosage to 30 grams per month was offered.

**Outcomes Measures**

Outcomes measures included the VAS pain scale to evaluate measure current pain intensity (0 to 10 scale with 10 defined as strongest pain imaginable), Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) to assess functional limitation due to spine disorder (0-100 scale, 0 no disability, 100 total disability) and the revised Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQR) (0-100 scale, 0 total disability,
Results

Thirty-one patients with chronic LBP in the setting of FM (28 women, 3 men) aged between 21-75 years participated in the study. Per national regulations, a yearlong therapy of analgesics is required to allow receiving MCT treatment. To make sure that conventional therapy could not alleviate sufficiently their symptom burden, the patients were administered SAT for at least 3 months. 3 patients dropped out and the rest received the MCT arm later. Patient flow through the study is shown in the CONSORT flow chart (Figure 1).

Baseline characteristics of the 31 participants who completed the study are given in Table 1.

Mean values and standard deviations of the pain intensity, FIQR, ODI and lumbar ROM scores at baseline and at 6 weeks are presented in Table 2. As it can be seen, the opiate treatment allowed minimal improvement in most evaluated functional Flow chart detailing the study.

Other endpoints assessed

Analgesic drug use was assessed according to patients’ medical records including the list of pharmacy dispensed medications. The use of medication following SAT was graded as 1-need for increased doses, 2-continued dosage required during MCT, 3-decrease by less than one half of medication consumption, 4-decrease by more than one half, 5-no other analgesics consumed.

Study procedure

All participants had SAT drug therapy. Following cross-over SAT could continue and MCT was administered. The patients were examined by one of the authors (DR) every 3 months. Amount of medications dispensed was recorded and the patients filled out the outcome measures. Physical examination was carried out by one of the authors (DR).

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using the Analyse-it add-on of the for-Microsoft Excel software program. ANOVA was used to compare the outcome scores at various timepoints. After assessing the normal distribution of the data, separate univariate analyses of covariance with time as the covariate were performed to determine whether there were significant differences between the treatment groups scores of functional disability, pain and lumbar ROM. A Tukey-Kramer approach was used to maintain the alpha level at P<0.05. This test compares the means of all pairs of groups.

It controls the error rate simultaneously for all k(k+1)/2 contrasts. Differences with P values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Continuous variables were summarized as means and standard deviations.

Table 1 Baseline Characteristics of Patients with Chronic LBP in FM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>n = 31</th>
<th>Mean SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>33.4 ± 12.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset since first episode (y)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>29.4 ± 8.5*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIQR score</td>
<td>46.3 ± 11.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Schober Test (cm)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oswestry Disability Index (ODI)</td>
<td>77.5 ± 10.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; cm, Centimeters; FIQR, The Revised Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire; LBP, Low back pain; SD, Standard Deviation; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale; y, Years

*Obesity is very common in our clinic population
outcome measures though not in the PGIC (Figure 2). The later addition of MCT allowed a significantly greater decrease of pain concomitant with significant improvement in Schober test. The PGIC was significantly higher in the MCT time interval than in the opiate time interval (Figures 3 and 4, ANOVA p<0.0001).

The spine range of motion was not affected by SAT but improved by 3 months MCT (Tukey-Kramer all pairs comparisons, Figure 4). Interestingly the improvement might continue during therapy with better range of motion after 6 months of MCT though the difference between modified Schober test at 3 months versus 6 months was not significant (p<0.09).

Medications consumption decreased following MCT therapy. The decrease was more marked following 6 months of MCT (Student’s t-test 4.5, p<0.001, Figures 5 and 6).

Adverse events during MCT therapy included: red eyes in 28 of 31 patients, increased appetite in 5 of 31 patients, and sore throat in 3 out of 31 patients. The adverse events were mild and did not require MCT alteration. Adverse events during SAT therapy included depression in 2 out of 31 patients, loss of appetite in 8 out of 31 patients, hemorrhoids in 4 out of 31 patients, constipation in 15 out of 31 patients, zombie-like feeling in 5 out of 31 patients. One patient had to be operated for hemorrhoids and in another 6 patients SAT was stopped due to side effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Screening (-3 months)</th>
<th>Baseline (Time 0)</th>
<th>MCT (3 months)</th>
<th>MCT (6 months)</th>
<th>p Value ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIQR</td>
<td>46.3 ± 11</td>
<td>45.3 ± 10.2</td>
<td>68.7 ± 15.6</td>
<td>80.5 ± 12.2</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODI</td>
<td>77.5 ± 10.6</td>
<td>73.7 ± 11.4</td>
<td>45.9 ± 19.1</td>
<td>30.7 ± 13.6</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schober Test (cm)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Means and Standard Deviations of Disability, Pain Intensity and Schober test at Various Timepoints.

![Figure 2](http://anaesthesia-painmedicine.imedpub.com/archive.php) Histograms of PGIC distribution comparing SAT response with MCT response at different timepoints.
PGIC at baseline (following 3 months of opiate therapy) indicates a substantial patient impression of improvement during MCT therapy with similar results after 3 and 6 months. The difference to the PGIC after opiate therapy is significant.

PGIC is affected by the type of therapy administered. 3 months MCT significantly enhances the PGIC as can be seen from the quartile analysis.

Discussion
This study appears to indicate that supplementation of analgesic therapy with MCT alleviate pain in FM patients suffering from LBP. The study design was an observational cross-over study of a consecutive patient cohort. This study design is a requirement of the current national legislation that allows licensing of MCT only to patient who have failed a significant treatment with pharmaceutical analgesics. This SAT procedure might help to select patients who are less likely to have symptoms relief using conventional medication. However, the consort diagram describing the patient flow indicates that most patients who begun SAT did not have pain relief with SAT and went on to MCT. This finding conforms to that of a survey of 1300 patients in which duloxetine and pregabalin were only highly effective in 10 percent of patients with FM and totally ineffective in about 60 percent [6]. The pain relief as well as the PGIC was significantly higher using the MCT therapy. The symptom relief occurs even as early as after 3 months of MCT. The improvement in spinal ROM takes more time and ROM was higher at 6 months as compared with 3 months of MCT.

The mechanism of pain relief by MCT in FM associated LBP is not clear. One option relates to the anti-inflammatory effect of cannabis [14]. Several substances within the inhaled cannabis smoke possess such activity [15]. Increased production of eicosanoids that promote the resolution of inflammation is caused by cannabidiol [15]. Another option is the amelioration of neuropathy by CB-2 receptor activation. A recent meta-analysis has indicated that about 30 percent of LBP have a neuropathic component [16].
Figure 5  Changes in lumbar spine flexion as assessed by modified Schober test.

Figure 6  Analgetic Medication Consumption following MCT Therapy.
A recent Cochrane meta-analysis has concluded that currently, there is insufficient evidence for recommendation for any cannabinoid preparations for symptom management in patients with chronic pain associated with rheumatic diseases. Unfortunately, the included studies did not include any studies using the inhaled whole flower preparation [17]. The effect of synthetic compounds or extracts might differ from that of the whole plant extract both due to different mechanism of entry into the body as well as the possible chaperone effect of the many so called minor molecules present in the whole plant [18]. The improvement observed in range of spinal motion might be due to decreased muscle tonus. Cannabis has been known to reduce muscle spasm and rigidity [19]. In fact, Dr. Russo has suggested back in 2004 that fibromyalgia among other diseases is caused by clinical deficiency of endocannabinoids [20,21]. Subsequent research has supported this concept. The degree of improvement observed following MCT appears higher than that observed using duloxetine in FM [22]. Prior studies employing synthetic cannabinoids have shown that tolerability of FM patients to nabilone is low [23]. The tolerability of MCT in our study was high and no patients had to stop the therapy due to adverse events. The majority of patients elected during MCT to decrease or discontinue pharmaceutical analgesic consumption. Prior research has shown that cannabis has an opiate sparing effect in analgesia [24]. In view of the current opioid dependence epidemic, the usage of treatments that might reduce opiate consumption is of interest.

The disadvantages of the current study include the lack of standardization of the amount of THC\CBD consumed. This deficiency prevents the assessment of a possible dose-response curve in MCT. As FM patients are currently ineligible for MCT therapy, only a small sub-class of FM patients (those with major LBP symptoms) was included. Further research is needed to compare the results of FM patients without LBP to those with LBP. Prior studies have indicated that approximately 40 percent of patients with FM suffer from chronic LBP [25].

The advantages of the current study are that it is the first one to describe results in LBP treatment in the setting of FM. The results appear to indicate improvement in both subjective patient assessed questionnaires as well as spinal range of motion. The decrease in opiate consumption is also an advantage of the MCT therapy. The cross-over design reduces the treatment variability as the results are paired. However, as the patients are aware that limited pain alleviation will allow access to MCT therapy, a potential bias of the SAT arm occurs. This might bias the study results and such bias could only be eliminated by the comparison of a placebo controlled group to the results of MCT therapy. This bias is a cause of concern and a future RCT should be performed.
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